The Reach Programme

Leicester City Council in conjunction with; Violence Reduction Network (VRN), Sheffield Hallam University & Leicestershire County Council



VIOLENCE REDUCTION NETWORK



LEICESTER, LEICESTERSHIRE & RUTLAND

Context

- To build upon the Prevention offer established in 2020
- Police Data
- Early identification of young people
- Significance of relationship with key education partners





What our young people and parents tell us..

"Things are a lot better.. I've built a relationship with my Dad which was damaged before"

> "Dread to think of where he would be if they didn't have the support with the services"

"it has helped 'M' gain confidence with him talking to someone new"

FEEDBACK

"I liked having someone to talk to and build a good relationship"

"Very helpful and supportive, always there and flexible to try and meet our needs. Listened and understood our needs and helped us"

"Since working with the Prevention team I have had fewer arguments" "A positive impact on 'R', done her good to get out. Made her realise who is good/bad to hang around with"

"I enjoyed being able to talk and express myself"



Theory of Change

		•	0	
 exclusions have been rising, particularly in secondary school 2. Some groups have substantially higher exclusion rates including those who have SEN or receive SEMH support/free school meals, are a child in need, or have a child Protection plan in place 3. Outcomes of excluded children are often poor (e.g. just 7% of children permanently excluded achieved good passes in GCSEs) 4. The rate of fixed term exclusions in Leicester and Leicestershire has been increasing year on year (15/16-18/19) 5. The most common reason for fixed term exclusion in Leicester and Leicestershire in 18/19 was physical assault on another pupil, followed by persistent disruptive childre from so overre young popula 2. Schoo consid 'marka increa both v and pe 'marka increa both v another pupil, followed by persistent disruptive 	 Issed risk of victimisation erpetration reas of ter and tershire (East City and wood in the y) which have ghest rates of term Six schools (four in the East of the City and two in borough of Charnwood in the term Six schools (four in the East of the City and two in borough of Charnwood in the term Six violence of fixed term exclusions in Leicester and terester and the term 	 activity at 'critical moment' – young people who are at risk of or who receive FTE 2-3 sessions p/w for first eight weeks, 1-2 sessions p/w for next ten weeks, and 1 session/every other week for remainder Extensive phase (4-6 weeks) of relationshipbuilding between youth worker and young person involving fun recreational activities (e.g. sports, art, music) Flexible delivery in the spaces that young people feel safe and comfortable (e.g. neighbourhood, home, school, youth centre) Sessions on core components which are linked to the risk/cause of expulsion and indicators of vulnerability for future involvement in serious violence: Social Skills Training (Cause/risk factors: impulsivity, inability to manage/regulate emotions and behaviours) Confidence, Wellbeing and Resilience (Cause/risk factors: mental ill-health, self esteem) 	attendance and participation in sessions • Development of a positive and trusting relationship with Youth Worker • Improved understanding of the causes/drivers for problem behaviours (e.g. individual, familial, school, peer, and contextual factors) • Increased awareness of and ability to label emotions	Improved social skills Reduction in negative behaviours at school Increased confidence Improved understanding of negative peer influences Improved communication between young person and their family Parents/carers uptake of support if needed (e.g. with housing, employment, parenting) Increased engagement in positive recreational activities
	nce-based need assumption	Intervention		Evidence-based medium-term outcomes
WHY is the WHO the intervention needed intervention is for		or WHAT the intervention is and HOW MUCH participants will receive	WHAT the intervention will achieve and WHY this is important	
				City Council

Programme Characteristics



Teachable moments



Reachable spaces



24hr response time



Matching profiles



Set Core Components



Sustainable change



Exclusion Data

Schools identified from LA data on exclusion rates (2018/2019), as well as police "hot spot" areas (East Leicester).



The Reach Programme Structural Chart





Referrals and Onboarding

Young Person identified as being at risk of suspension following an incident School staff member (such as Designated Safeguarding Lead) completes online referral

Project Officer undertakes triage of referral to assess eligibility If eligible, Duty Youth Worker will call parents within 24 hours to arrange visit to undertake assessment Based on assessment, Duty Youth Worker and Team Manager match Young Person with Youth Worker

Youth Worker contacts Young Person within 3 days to arrange first session



Core Elements - Intensive 24 week Programme

	Mentoring: Sustaining Positive Change	Relationship Building	Mentoring: Understanding Behaviour		
Recreational activities				Social skills training	
Mentoring: Identifying and Achieving Aspirations		Mentoring: Positive Family, Peer and Community Relationships	Mentoring: Confidence, Wellbeing and Resilience		



Intended Impact

Reduction in behaviours associated to serious violence

Reduction in exclusion from school

Increase in school attendance

Reduction in knife crime Reduction in Serious Violence



Impact Evaluation







INDEPENDENT EVALUATION BY SHEFFIELD HALLAM UNIVERSITY. TO UNDERSTAND THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROJECT.

TO ENSURE KNOWLEDGE OF THE MOST EFFECTIVE APPROACH AND INTERVENTION DELIVERY.

